

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,193	04/17/2006	Tsukasa Fujieda	060321	8608
23850 7550 01/06/2012 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WALTERS JR, ROBERT S	
4th Floor WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1717	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/576,193	FUJIEDA, TSUKASA
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT S. WALTERS JR	1717

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____ ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\sim \text{will not be entered, or b)} \(\sim \text{will be entered and an explanation of}\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,10 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant first argues that the claims have clarified that the substrate does not comprise an agueous luster thermosetting base coating film. However, the examiner disagrees with this contention as the claims actually recite that the substrate may be "the body, part, or metal material that is coated with an undercoat, an intermediate coate, and a colored base coat". There is nothing to suggest that this base coat is not an aqueous luster thermosetting base coating film. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Tomioka teaches applying an aqueous luster base coating composition to a substrate, wherein the substrate is a automobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two to five stages wherein the thickness of the base coating applied in each stage is from 0.3 to 5 microns (see column 3, liens 15-16, lines 43-57,

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 2The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant first arouse that the claims have clarified that the substrate does not comprise an aqueous luster thermosetting base coating film. However, the examiner disagrees with this contention as the claims actually recite that the substrate may be "the body, part, or metal material that is coated with an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat". There is nothing to suggest that this base coat is not an aqueous luster thermosetting base coating film. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Tomloka teaches applying an aqueous luster base coating composition to a substrate, wherein the substrate is a automobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two life is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two life is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two life is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two life is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two life is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in the substrate is a color where the body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in two life is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in the substrate is a submobile body part where the body part has an undercoat, an intermediate coat, and a colored base coat in the substrate is a submobile body part where the business death substrate is a substrate in the body part where the body part has a undercoat, an intermediate coa

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	
	/R. S. W./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1717	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20120104